					Support		
Number	Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	In part	Neither	Comments
1	E10	Stothert Avenue	1				When we purchased our apartment on Stothert Avenue in April 2013, we were assured that we would have always have rights for one visitor to park on the street. While we do have a parking space underground, we often have friends or family visiting and have always been able to use our visitors pass for a space on Stothert Avenue. We had been told by Crest that a permit system would be introduced where we would have to book visitors' parking. However, with this new proposal, we will have no rights for anyone to park nearby when visiting us. This contradicts what we had been told on buying our apartment. Furthermore, we are concerned of the increased traffic flow this will bring to Stothert Avenue with people trying to park there. As well as increasing pollution, we also think this could impact on community spirit in the area.
							when we were sold the property less than a year ago, we were told that each of the townhouses along Stothert Avenue, would be granted the legal right to park one car in a designated parking bay, but with the ability to permit visitors to park a further vehicle in the bays provided along Stothert Avenue, by displaying a parking disc issued by the developer, Crest Nicholson. We do understand that it is necessary to prevent abuse of the parking system currently in place at Stothert Avenue, by both Bath Riverside home owners, and drivers from elsewhere. However, by implementing a paid parking scheme between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., restricting parking to a maximum of 3 hours (with no return within 2 hours), the home owners, and residents of Stothert Avenue will be unable to have friends and family visit them for a reasonable period of time, which is what was promised to them PRIOR to purchasing their homes. In particular, the Stothert Avenue Townhouse brochure given to us prior to purchasing, categorically states:- Stothert Avenue provides an opportunity to acquire a spacious new townhouse in Bath's news residential quarter. Having seen the current proposals put forward, we strongly believe that the proposals are disadvantageous to the residents of Stothert Avenue, as it not only takes away something that was "sold" to them by the developer, Crest Nicholson, but also puts an unnecessary burden on the home owners, a burden which was not envisaged prior to purchase. The managing agents, Pinnacle, are paid for by the residents of the Bath Riverside Estate, and therefore they should be representing the residents' point of view. We understand that there are schemes in Bath which are designed to restrict drivers from abusing parking in general, whilst at the same time allowing local residents to park sensibly. The Zone 5 parking scheme appears to work perfectly well nearby in Bath, and we strongly believe that extending the scheme so that it applies to Stothert Avenue, would serve both the local residents
,	1					1	Avenue, would serve both the local residents, and the city of bath well, by keeping

V a fully adopted road mean in terms of who is responsible, will all of Stothert Avenue parking come under their remit? 2.There is a temporary parking area by the side of No. 31 Stothert Avenue that is full most nights. Where will these cars go once this space is developed and the parking removed? 3.The square (by the empty new shops on Midland Road - by Destructor Bridge) has parking spaces too. Will these stay as is or will they be subject to parking restrictions too? This is not marked on the plan. 4. The developer digs holes in the road on Stothert Avenue, Percy Terrace and Longmead Terrace every few weeks which removes parking spaces for residents. Will this work be ongoing or completed by the time these proposed bays go live? 5. The proposed parking bays must surely form part of a broader strategy for overall parking on this development. 6. We understand, but may be mistaken, that BANES have placed parking restrictions on certain residents in the Imperial apartment block so that they cannot use the underground parking facility under the building. This results in further parking issues on Stothert Avenue. 7. How do the opening of the new apartment blocks (at the end of Stothert Avenue/Midland Road) impact parking currently? 8. Why has the developer asked for Stothert Avenue to become a Public Highway and not pursued proper adoption? We feel that the lack of a coherent parking strategy for Bath Riverside is going to cause more parking problems going forwards. A parking strategy for formed and put in the public domain before these bays can be proposed. We believe that there are already parking problems here and the these proposed bays will exacerbate these	3 E10	Midland Road & Stothert Avenue	1	I am writing to support your proposed traffic management order to introduce restrictions in Midland Road and Stothert Avenue, Bath. I have lived on Bath Riverside for two years and one of the biggest problems for residents is the absence of visitor parking. Crest Nicholson promised us when we bought our flat that a managed scheme would be introduced, but this has never been enforced, so the spaces meant to be available to visitors are in practice blocked by cars parked in them permanently. Your proposal would put the same spaces under Council control. If you are able to enforce the 3 hour maximum stay/no return within 2 hours principle, it should ensure that there is reasonable availability of parking for visitors. I hope you will introduce this scheme as soon as possible, and ensure that it is enforced.
I MINITED INTOTROPT AMORIO I II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4 E10	Stothert Avenue	1	parking come under their remit? 2. There is a temporary parking area by the side of No. 31 Stothert Avenue that is full most nights. Where will these cars go once this space is developed and the parking removed? 3. The square (by the empty new shops on Midland Road - by Destructor Bridge) has parking spaces too. Will these stay as is or will they be subject to parking restrictions too? This is not marked on the plan. 4. The developer digs holes in the road on Stothert Avenue, Percy Terrace and Longmead Terrace every few weeks which removes parking spaces for residents. Will this work be ongoing or completed by the time these proposed bays go live? 5. The proposed parking bays must surely form part of a broader strategy for overall parking on this development. 6. We understand, but may be mistaken, that BANES have placed parking restrictions on certain residents in the Imperial apartment block so that they cannot use the underground parking facility under the building. This results in further parking issues on Stothert Avenue. 7. How do the opening of the new apartment blocks (at the end of Stothert Avenue/Midland Road) impact parking currently? 8. Why has the developer asked for Stothert Avenue to become a Public Highway and not pursued proper adoption? We feel that the lack of a coherent parking strategy for Bath Riverside is going to cause more parking problems going forwards. A parking strategy must be formed and put in the public domain before these bays can be proposed. We believe that there are

5 E10 Stothert Avenue	The proposal in the notice comes as a surprise to me and is an entirely inappropriate response to the requirements of a residential area within the Riverside Regeneration Development. Having lived on Stothert Avenue for almost one year, I am familiar with the very obvious problem that (long outdated) orange parking permits are being improperly used by non-residents and, in some cases, by residents with more than one car. I must say that I am all in favour of the Council's wish to curtail Bath households from attempting to park in the city with more than one car. However, I am of the opinion that parking for visitors, for which the visited residents will pay a fee, is a different and a clearly legitimate requirement. Visitors, especially if family members living far away, typically need access for more than a few hours during one day, and so 3 hr with no return within 2 hr is entirely inappropriate and appears designed instead for a shop-lined high street. I fail to understand why the scheme in the notice was suggested at all in this case since there is a perfectly simple and appropriate mechanism already operated by BANES in Bath, namely a "Bath Residents' Parking Zone". This satisfies the necessary requirements and is already widely established. Stothert Avenue is clearly residential and not a commercial street. The solution proposed in the notice is entirely appropriate for the latter and certainly not to the former classification. We have a legitimate need for resident's visitor parking for family and other visits to our property that is occasional but for periods covering overnight stays; and we are perfectly willing to pay a reasonable rate for such parking. The prospect of having to compete with overnight parking by commercial vehicles including white vans and coaches is, quite franky, horrific and totally incompatible with the quiet, residential nature of the development.
Jest Statistici (Avenue	

			On purchasing our house, we were granted a legal right to park one vehicle in a parking space using a parking permit, plus a visitors' permit for use in the parking bays in
			Stothert Avenue. We have been very disturbed to be informed that the parking along the whole of
			Stothert Avenue is going to be changed into one more associated with a shopping
			parade: '3 hours parking, no return in 2 hours'. It will also be in force from 8 am to 8 p
			which I cannot see is true anywhere else in Bath.
			At the moment, the parking scheme in Stothert Avenue isn't perfect, but it does wor
			on a first come, first served basis for any resident's visitors, which is fair enough. The
			proposed scheme would allow anyone, resident's visitor or not, to park in our road,
			which we feel is an extremely retrograde step. We have heard that this is due to Cres Nicholson's thinking that the planned café and restaurant will need parking for their
			clientele and supplies. According to Crest Nicholson's own publicity we live in a
			residential quarter of the city. Given that fact, surely there should be provision for
			family visits etc lasting more than three hours.
			The residents of Bath who live in a zoned area can apply and pay for visitors' permits
			Those living in an non-zoned area have a free-for-all situation. We, on the other han
			would have the worst of both worlds. Would it not make sense to extend Zone 5 a lit
			further to the north (it already includes properties on our side of the Lower Bristol
			road) and let us pay for permits so families and friends can visit?
			We really feel that this proposed scheme completely unbalances the nature of this
			development. Crest Nicholson are very keen to stress the idyllic way of life for those
			living here.
			Living in a lovely house, but being in social isolation doesn't sound much like an idyl
6 E10	Stothert Avenue	1 1	us. I trust that the residents of Stothert Avenue are not being held hostage to the needs of Crest Nicholson's commercial interests.

T			
7 E10	Stothert Avenue	1	We live in the Riverside development in Stothert Avenue. This is very much a Residential area of the Riverside development and yet we understand that Crest has asked that and the Order Proposes this be for Limited Waiting Use Bays to be used for commercial use, general public non resident use and 3hr short term visitor use. 1. There are no commercial units near to where the Town Houses, Stothert Avenue numbers 2,4,6,8,10. There are however parking spaces near to the proposed commercial units that could be used to service these. 2. The bays should be included in one of the existing Resident parking zones surrounding this new Residential Area? Possibly zone 5? 3. These parking bays should be made part of the city council parking zones 5 as this is a new Residential development within an existing residential zone. If so we would then pay for visitor parking using the scheme you already have in operation- i.e. we pay for paper scratch cards at £10 for 10 days with a maximum of 100 days per annum. This is clearly not a Commercial area nor is it a Non Residential area and we are unhappy with the motivation behind: a) Allowing anyone from outside the development to park outside these homes for up to three hours per day. b) Allowing the prospect of non resident vehicles parking outside our homes all night every night when the owners may not even pay Council Tax. 4. The timings from 8am until 8pm are not suitable for a Residential Area. I also see that your web site shows other parking metered bays hours are from 8am until 6pm in nearby areas. 5. When sold these properties by Crest the written brochure describes Stothert Avenue as "A much sought after Residential Community" yet we are now told the bays outside our properties will not be able to allow our families to visit for more than three hours during the day whilst being available for commercial vehicles and at night it will be open season for parking by non Residents. 7. No provision will be left for any family or friends to visit or stay at our 4 bedroom properti
8 510			I am writing to express my concerns over the proposal (upon adoption of the road by BANES) to withdraw the permits which allow Stothert Avenue residents' visitors to use the parking bays in the road and to replace this system with meter parking restricted to 3 hours and therefore usable by the wider public. This will inevitably mean that the spaces will be taken up by shoppers/tourists etc to Bath and will not be available for visitors to the development. I bought my property in Stothert Avenue in April 2012. I was told that home owners would have a permit to allow visitors to park in the bays in Stothert Avenue. I was duly issued with a permit shortly after my arrival here. Parking in these spaces has been monitored by Premier Parking during this time. I was also led to believe by the developers Crest Nicholson that as the development progressed a system would be introduced whereby permits could be purchased up to a certain number per year so that all residents fairly had the chance of benefiting from the visitor spaces. I am very concerned that the promise about the parking bays being for residents visitors only and that the road would have restricted access may not be honoured which would mean that current residents were sold their properties under
8 E10	Stothert Avenue	1	false pretences.

		rights to park in Stothert Avenue. The free three hour parking limit proposed between 8 am and 8 pm will attract large numbers of visitors to the City and will make these spaces an extremely desirable alternative to the expensive metered system and the main car parks. The unlimited parking proposed between 8 pm and 8 am will be a particular attraction to all visiting contractors. All Bath residents have become part of a zoned system (unless they have requested to opt out) and this entitles them to purchase visitor passes for a specified zone. We will be excluded from this scheme and our visitors will have no rights to park nearby. This contrasts with other residential areas, such as Oldfield Park, where all visitors' needs are accommodated. We understand from Crest Nicholson that should we request that BANES extend one of the current Zones (5 being the closest geographically), the residents in this zone will be consulted. If they object, we understand that any request we may make to join this zone is likely to be declined. The Riverside Development is already substantial and this, inevitably, will lead to opposition. We also understand that BANES are reluctant to create new zones. The residents of The Riverside have purchased properties that have been heavily marketed by Crest Nicholson as being part of a residential area and yet, under these proposals, the interests of all others will seemingly be considered before the needs of those who live in this development. Stothert Avenue is a residential area and yet the current proposal allows no recognition of priority parking for residents' visitors. The proposals Crest and Pinnacle are keen to implement will lead to a substantial increase in traffic along Stothert Avenue which will, inevitably, include commercial vehicles of all types. In addition, if Banes will gain no revenue from the parking arrangement currently proposed, ie. free parking in a 'patrolled zone', it is unlikely that it will be policed effectively. We cannot see how this will be more effective tha
		Pinnacle, and we wonder why these proposals could be deemed reasonable. I would like to formally object to the proposal to change the parking on Stothert Avenue to Limited Waiting Parking. When I bought the property I was under the impression that the parking bays would be for residential use only. The Bath Riverside brochures for the townhouses marketed the development as "a much sought after residential community" with "communal gardens, riverside walks, swathes of green spaces". I am now worried that the proposed changes to the parking bays will lead to them becoming a busy parking area just outside our front door. I would fully support the parking bays to be included in the residents' permit scheme in line with other residential areas in Bath if this is an option.
Stathart Avanua		I am writing to object to the proposal to change the parking on Stothert Avenue to Limited Waiting Parking. I bought the house with the understanding that the parking bays would be for residential use (indeed, all the literature said that this was a residential area, and we were given a visitor's permit on completion of the purchase). I am very concerned that limited waiting parking bays will mean that the pavement outside my property will become busy throughout the day and into the evening, as well as encouraging people to drive to the Riverside development and surrounding areas for shopping etc when otherwise they might walk or use park and ride or other public transport. I understand that the present solution has not worked as planned and would suggest that instead the parking bays could be included in the residents' permit scheme (perhaps zone 5 or 6) in line with other residential areas in Bath.
	Stothert Avenue Stothert Avenue	Stothert Avenue 1

						I have heard from and met with a number of residents living in the above area and in
		Midland Road & Stothert				view of the fact that they are concerned about the above proposals I would like to
12	E10	Avenue	1			request that further options be considered before a decision is made.
Totals:			11	1		